# Analyzing Running Time (Chapter 2)

- What is efficiency?
- Tools: asymptotic growth of functions
- Practice finding asymptotic running time of algorithms

### First: a bit of sorting

Which of these grows faster?
 n<sup>4/3</sup>
 n(log n)<sup>3</sup>

Seample(s) on board

## Bean Counting 101: Analyze Gale-Shapley

Initialize each college and student to be free. while (some college is free and hasn't made offers to every student) { Choose such a college c  $s = 1^{st}$  student on c's list to whom c has not made offer if (s is free) assign c and s to be engaged else if (s prefers c to current college c') assign c and s to be engaged, and c' to be free else

s rejects c

### Bean Counting

Count how many lines of code execute

Be wary of pseudocode: make sure each line is really O(1)

May need to think carefully about data structures to determine if this is the case

### Four Patterns

For Loops
Accounting
Enumeration
Divide-and-(maybe)-conquer

#### Pattern 1: For Loops

Compute the maximum

max = a1
for i = 2 to n {
 if (ai > max)
 max = ai
}

for i = 2 to n {
 for j = 2 to n {
 // constant time
 // operations

 $O(n^2)$ 

**O(n)** 

#### Pattern 2: Accounting

For while() loops or more complex constructions, may not be obvious how many times a line of code executes

Output Use accounting scheme to count executions

## Accounting: Gale-Shapley

Initialize each college and student to be free
while (some college is free and ...) {
 //
 // etc., etc.
 //

Each loop execution makes a new offer. Charge each loop to an offer!

--> at most  $n^2$  times through loop

# Accounting: Merge Sorted Lists

Input: sorted lists A = a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub> and B = b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub>,..., b<sub>n</sub>
Output: combined sorted list



# Accounting: Merge Two Sorted Lists

Accounting

scheme?

```
i = 1, j = 1
while (both lists are nonempty) {
   if (a_i \leq b_i) {
     append ai to output list
     increment i
   }
   else {
     append b<sub>j</sub> to output list
     increment j
   }
append remainder of nonempty list
to output list
```

#### Pattern 3: Enumeration

Ø Brute force solution: examine all possibilities

- Running time will depend on the structure of the problem. How many possible answers are there?
- Seems ugly, but sometimes the best we can do!)

### Closest Points

Closest pair of points in a plane
 Given a list of n points in the plane (x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>),
 ..., (x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>), find the pair that is closest.

min = infinity for i = 1 to n { for j = i+1 to n {  $d = (x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2$ if (d < min) min = d }

**O(n<sup>2</sup>)** 

#### More Enumeration

Examine all \_\_\_\_\_ of n items  $\odot$  Pairs - O(n<sup>2</sup>)  $\odot$  Triples –  $O(n^3)$ Subsets of size k -  $O(n^k)$  $\odot$  Subsets of any size -  $O(2^n)$ Permutations - O(n!)

### Pattern 4: Divide-and-(maybe)-conquer

O(log n) "logarithmic time". Do a constant amount of work to discard a constant fraction of the input (often 1/2)

Binary search (illustrate on board)

O(n log n). Divide-and-conquer (much more later in course)

Mergesort

### Mergesort



### Summary

Bean counting: count each line, be careful of pseudocode

Patterns: for loops, accounting, enumeration, divide-and-maybe-conquer

Common running times

O(log n), O(n), O(n log n), O(n<sup>2</sup>), O(2<sup>n</sup>), O(n!)